Case 5:10-cr-00301 PLE STATES DISTRICT COURT Page 1 of 1

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF	AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Cas	e Number	-10-0030 DL
	unon-Copenson, Defendant.	<u>ORI</u>	DER OF DETENT	TION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the l present, represented by PART I. PRESUMPTION	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(his attorney) ack London The	f), a detention hearing we United States was repre	vas held on esented by Assista	30 Defendant was int U.S. Attorney N · Corp.
of a prior offense descrip	lant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while five (5) years has elapsed since the	on release pending trial	for a federal state	or local offense and
of any other person and t				•
has committed an offense	obable cause based upon (the indictre	ment) (the facts found in	Part IV below) to	believe that the defendant
A. 💥	for which a maximum term of imp 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955	orisonment of 10 years of a et seq., OR	r more is prescribe	ed in 21 U.S.C. §
B	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a	firearm during the com	mission of a felen	¥
appearance of the defend / / No presump	a rebuttable presumption that no co ant as required and the safety of the	ndition or combination of community.	of conditions will	e ly assure the
	PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE		JUL	2 2010
therefore will be ordered	ont has not come forward with suffice detained. In has come forward with evidence		CLERK, U.S.	DISTRICT COURT
	of proof shifts back to the United S			•
PART III. PROOF (WHER	RE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR I	NAPPLICABLE)		
/ / The United S	States has proved to a preponderance	e of the evidence that no	condition or com	bination of conditions will
/ / The United S	earance of the defendant as required	, AND/OK	11.1	
reasonably assure the safet	states has proved by clear and convi- ty of any other person and the comn	nong evidence mat no c	ondition or comb	ination of conditions will
	DINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF		TION	
The Court ha	s taken into account the factors set	out in 18 U.S.C. 8 31420	o) and all of the it	formation automitted at
the hearing and finds as fo	Hows: The defenders (A)(1) & 84/(6)(1)	(A) (vuil) in	With Viole an indu	atrons 572/450
on violation	g parole			
/ / Defendant, his	attorney, and the AUSA have waiv	ed written findings.		
PART V. DIRECTIONS REC	GARDING DETENTION	_		
The defendant is com	mitted to the custody of the Attorne	y General or his designa	ted representative	for confinement in a
orrections facility separate to	the extent practicable from persons	awaiting or serving sent	ences or being hel	d in custody pending appeal
ne detendant shall be afforded	d a reasonable opportunity for priva	te consultation with defe	ense counsel. On	order of a court of the
inited States or on the request	of an attorney for the Government,	the person in charge of t	the corrections fac	ility shall deliver the
enemonate to the United States I	Marshal for the purpose of an appea	1 1	_	ng.
rated: 7/1/10		Dahiere . &	humbal	<u>U</u>

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge

rated: 7/1/10